

1 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2 BEFORE THE

3
4 RULES COMMITTEE OF THE

5 TEXAS LOW-LEVEL RADIOACTIVE WASTE DISPOSAL

6 COMPACT COMMISSION

7
8
9
10
11 FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2015

12 9:30 A.M.

13
14
15
16
17
18 MEETING SITE:

19 Bluebonnet Conference Room

20 Doubletree Suites

21 303 West 15th Street

22 Austin, Texas 78701

APPEARANCES

RULES COMMITTEE:

LINDA MORRIS, COMMISSIONER, LMP TEXAS

CLINT WEBER, COMMISSIONER, TEXAS

RICHARD H. SAUDEK, COMMISSIONER, VERMONT

JOHN SALSMAN, CHP VICE-CHAIR

JAMES "JIM" CROWSON, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

LEIGH ING, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

AUDREY FERRELL, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
APPEARANCES	2
PROCEEDINGS, FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2013	4
COMMENTS:	
EDDIE SELIG	7
KENNETH KRIEGER	8
DAN SHRUM	11
ROBERT KNAPP	20
DAVID MARTIN	24
PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED	26
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	27

EXHIBIT INDEX

NO.	DESCRIPTION	MARKED
1.	2015 Rulemaking Revisions to Chapter 675, Subchapter B: Proposed New 675.20 and Proposed Amendments to 675.21 through 675.23	5

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 FRIDAY, MAY 29, 2015

3 (9:30 a.m.)

4 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Welcome to the
5 stakeholders meeting for the Texas Low-Level Radioactive
6 Waste Disposal Compact Commission. This meeting was --
7 is required -- not required stakeholders meeting -- but
8 this is in reference to some proposed rules that have
9 been published in the Texas Register.

10 As you-all know, we are making changes in
11 675.20, .21, .22 and .23, and the purpose of this
12 meeting today is to simply receive input from generators
13 and waste processors and anyone else that's interested
14 in this whole project.

15 The comment period that you probably all
16 know ends June 22nd. So this is probably as good of
17 time as any to -- that's the 45 days from the date that
18 was published in the Texas Register. So we appreciate
19 your being here today to provide input to us.

20 Jim Crowson's office has been kind enough
21 to provide us copies of the rules. Hopefully everyone
22 has picked up one of those. They are lined -- they are
23 numbered, the lines are numbered and page numbered. So
24 when you come up -- if you come up to make a comment or
25 provide us some information, please, if you would, refer

1 to the page number and the line number so we'll know
2 exactly where you are.

3 Also when you come up, we ask you to come
4 to the microphone up here at the podium and identify
5 first yourself and your affiliation so we will have it
6 for the record. We are actually not only having this --
7 this session videotaped, but also a transcript, a
8 written transcript prepared. So that will make it
9 easier for all of us to look back at the comments, make
10 sure we address all of your concerns this morning.

11 Anyway, so let's -- we'll be glad to get
12 started and --

13 MR. CROWSON: Madam Chairman, may I make a
14 couple of --

15 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Yes, let me --

16 MR. CROWSON: -- housekeeping comments?

17 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Yes.

18 MR. CROWSON: We would -- we would ask,
19 Madam Reporter, that this be an exhibit to the
20 transcript that you're making.

21 THE REPORTER: Okay.

22 (Exhibit No. 1 marked)

23 MR. CROWSON: And we would also ask that
24 any of the commenters who have something to submit in
25 writing, that they submit it to the reporter as well,

1 and that will be also appended to the transcript.

2 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Thank you, Jim.

3 And also I'm remiss in not introducing the
4 people here at the table. On my right, we have the
5 Commission Vice-Chair, John Salsman, also a member of
6 the Rules Committee. We have Commissioner Clint Weber,
7 who is sitting in today and helping us out. Thank you,
8 Clint, for taking an extra day out of your schedule.
9 Myself, Linda Morris, I'm Chair of the Rules Committee.
10 We have our Counsel, Jim Crowson, and we have
11 Richard Saudek representing Vermont on the Commission.
12 He's also a member of the Rules Committee.

13 I just want to say how hard this Rules
14 Committee has worked and how much we appreciate the
15 assistance of -- the work that the Rules Committee has
16 done. This has not been a short process by any means.

17 And also I must mention how appreciative
18 we are of the work provided by Leigh Ing and Audrey
19 Ferrell.

20 Audrey, thank you for setting up this
21 meeting this morning, so we appreciate that.

22 Okay. I think that's -- any other
23 comments before we actually get started receiving
24 information?

25 Leigh, anything else you can think of we

1 need to do?

2 MS. ING: No.

3 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Okay. All right.

4 So do we have a volunteer? Who wants to be first?

5 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Don't be shy.

6 (Laughter)

7 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Otherwise this is
8 going to be a very short meeting.

9 MR. CROWSON: We may look grumpy, but
10 we're really not.

11 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: It's early, but
12 still not that early.

13 So is anybody ready to come up and say
14 something?

15 COMMENTS BY EDDIE SELIG

16 MR. SELIG: I'll --

17 (Simultaneous discussion)

18 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Yea, yea, Eddie.
19 Okay.

20 MR. SELIG: This way it will give other
21 people a chance to correlate the pages so you won't just
22 stand here.

23 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: With that, we'll
24 give your comments particular weight.

25 MR. SELIG: I need to lose some weight.

1 So thank you very much. My name is
2 Edward Selig, and I represent Advocates for Responsible
3 Disposal in Texas. And my comment relates to 675.22,
4 Section (4)(b), which can be found on Page 31 of the
5 lined text, Line 7; Page 31, Line 7, 675.22,
6 Section (4)(b).

7 So when the rules states that the Compact
8 Commission will continue to recognize and protect the
9 portion of the Texas Compact Disposal Facility disposal
10 capacity reserved for Vermont and not to be used for
11 nonparty states, it should also mention Texas, which was
12 inadvertently omitted.

13 Thank you.

14 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Thank you,
15 Mr. Selig.

16 Okay. Next?

17 Okay. Mr. Krieger?

18 COMMENTS BY KENNETH KRIEGER

19 MR. KRIEGER: My name is Kenneth Krieger.
20 I work for Radiation Technology.

21 My comment and/or question is referring to
22 Page 16, I guess 275, Subchapter B(A), and it will be
23 16, Line 1, "For low-level radioactive waste acquired on
24 or after April 27, 2012," et cetera, et cetera. My
25 question is: What happens to the waste that was

1 acquired before that date?

2 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Let me just make a
3 comment here to something the Rules Committee talked
4 about informally yesterday. If you have suggestions or
5 things you would like to see added or changed, if you
6 can help us with the wording of some of that, we will --
7 if you've got -- recognize a problem, if you could help
8 us provide a solution and actually provide some
9 suggested wording, we will certainly consider that
10 wording. So please do, feel free to suggest ways to fix
11 the rules of these proposed rules, and we'll certainly
12 take that into consideration. You can help us do our
13 job a little better.

14 So, Mr. Krieger, if that's something you
15 want to suggest? Okay.

16 MR. CROWSON: I might help a little bit
17 here. I think the intent here -- and certainly we could
18 look at changing it -- but the intent here is to
19 implement a definition that applies to a period of time
20 after April 27, 2012 and not necessarily to redefine the
21 definitions that may have been in effect prior to that
22 date. So that's the idea here. And if there's a lack
23 of clarity, we'll certainly think about that in the next
24 draft.

25 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: I take it that's the

1 date that they started accepting waste at the compact
2 facility.

3 MR. KRIEGER: It was either accepting
4 waste or opening or a culmination of rules. I don't
5 know what the exact date was.

6 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: I believe that was
7 the date they started accepting waste.

8 MR. CROWSON: It was.

9 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: I can't remember if
10 it was that day that the first shipment showed up. It
11 may have been.

12 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Okay. Any other
13 comments people would like to make?

14 Okay. Mr. Knapp? Okay. All right.

15 MR. SELIG: Just a minute.

16 MR. KNAPP: If somebody else wants to go
17 ahead and --

18 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Yeah, if somebody
19 else would like to jump to the head of the line?

20 MR. LOCKE: This is throwing us off.

21 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Everyone just loves
22 these rules the way they are now; don't need to make any
23 other changes?

24 MR. CROWSON: With the permission of the
25 Chair, if there's -- if there's difficulty transferring

1 your comments to the line --

2 MR. LOCKE: We just had -- we had it
3 marked up on this one, but not that one.

4 MR. CROWSON: Okay. If there's difficulty
5 with that --

6 MR. SELIG: That's what we're trying to do
7 is to put the two together.

8 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Well, we --

9 MR. CROWSON: Okay. If you can figure
10 out -- just go ahead and comment they way you've
11 commented and --

12 MR. SHRUM: Are you sure? I don't want to
13 step ahead of you --

14 (Laughter)

15 MR. SHRUM: -- but I'm going to the
16 podium.

17 MR. KNAPP: Please do.

18 MR. SHRUM: So we're going up here?

19 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Yes, please.

20 COMMENTS BY DAN SHRUM

21 MR. SHRUM: My name is Dan Shrum with
22 Energy Solutions. First I'd like to thank the
23 Commission and especially the Rules Committee for the
24 fine work that's you've done so far, good work.

25 We only had a couple of comments. We've

1 got some -- we'll be providing written comments at a
2 later time, but one that we would like you to consider
3 in the meantime. And the first one is, there appears to
4 be two definitions for a "compact disposal facility,"
5 and I think that might just be a carryover. If you
6 could get those two combined or the thought of combining
7 those two so we have just one -- one very solid
8 definition of what a "compact disposal facility" is?

9 Also consider defining the Commission's
10 technical committee, just so we understand is that a
11 technical committee of people within the compact -- on
12 the compact and what their roles and responsibilities
13 will be.

14 And the definition of "disposal" currently
15 includes our host state. We understand why that is in
16 there, but you may want to at this point in time just
17 consider correlating the Texas -- the Texas rules on
18 disposal with EPA and NRC to see if there's any
19 differences and potentially just remove the "or the host
20 state" would be something to consider.

21 And also in the definition of "low-level
22 radioactive waste," there's a non -- it states something
23 like not -- as long as the waste is not incompatible,
24 and I know that's a carryover from the act also. But a
25 further definition of what is exactly meant by that, I

1 don't know that when they wrote the act they had
2 anything in mind. If the Commissioners have something
3 in mind, that would be fine. If not, maybe just state
4 so in the preamble.

5 And that's really it. Again, a very nice
6 job on the rules and glad to be here. Thank you.

7 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Is there a reason
8 you can't give -- give us chapter and verse here, I mean
9 rather than --

10 MR. SHRUM: These are all in the
11 definitions --

12 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Okay.

13 MR. SHRUM: -- everyone that I've
14 discussed, so that's 20 -- I just went to Part 61 -- 20,
15 it's 20. So again, that's -- we will provide a letter,
16 but it's all in the definitions section. In the
17 definitions section, there's two definitions of a
18 "compact disposal facility" that are not quite the same.
19 If those could be combined or however you'd like to
20 handle that.

21 The definition of "disposal" in 20
22 includes "or host state" definition, and it may be good
23 to just put the definition of what Texas defines
24 "disposal" right in the rule as opposed to or how the
25 host state defines "disposal."

1 And the word "Commission's technical
2 committee" is used in 23 twice, and we don't know
3 exactly what that means. I believe I know what it
4 means, but it would be nice to have a definition of
5 "Commission's technical committee."

6 And also in the definitions section
7 "low-level radioactive waste" is defined and talks about
8 it can't be incompatible with the compact facility, and
9 I don't -- that's a little vague to me, and I would like
10 to know what the committee thought "incompatible" meant
11 other than what it says in the act. Did that help --

12 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Yeah, a little bit.

13 MR. SHRUM: -- a little bit?

14 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: I mean, if you're
15 going to have written comments, that's fine, but it
16 seems to me that, you know, a suggestion as to how to
17 tighten up the language or which language to choose when
18 they're incompatible with each other would be useful to
19 us.

20 MR. SHRUM: And we will have written --
21 written comments on that. The primary one is two --
22 right now there are two definitions of -- of the
23 "compact disposal facility." They're very, very close
24 to each other.

25 MR. CROWSON: Are those found in 675.20 on

1 Page 14 and 15? And I think one of those is No. (3) at
2 Line 21 on Page 14. Is that correct? And then on
3 Page 25, Definition (5).

4 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: Actually Page 15.

5 MR. CROWSON: Page 15 --

6 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: Yeah, Definition
7 No. (5).

8 MR. CROWSON: -- Line 6. I think those
9 are the references he's making.

10 MR. SHRUM: And I still haven't gone to
11 the new -- this new version where everything is spelled
12 out, which is very handy.

13 I did have one other comment. The
14 definition of a "generator" in 20 -- and I --

15 Director, what page number is "generator"
16 on on this new version?

17 AUDIENCE MEMBER: 15.

18 (Simultaneous discussion)

19 MR. SHRUM: 15. The definition of
20 "generator," we're a little confused on what it's --
21 675.29 defines a "generator," and we're not sure if our
22 processing facilities would meet the definition of a
23 "generator" because our processing facilities are
24 required by NRC rules and also state rules to have the
25 waste attributed to themselves when it's

1 indistinguishable between all the other generators and
2 what we generate ourselves because we incinerate and we
3 do metal melt.

4 And we will be making a comment to -- for
5 the committee -- for the committee to consider allowing
6 us to attribute waste to ourselves as we are required to
7 do and then providing a list of generators where the
8 waste came from. So it's an attribution issue that
9 we've had -- we've dealt with. And, in fact, the way
10 I've got it written so far, we talk about the solution
11 we have in other states, how we were just able to
12 provide a list of generators, but we are still the
13 owners of the waste.

14 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Well, I can see --
15 I'm sorry to keep yakking like this. Is that okay?

16 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: No; that's fine.
17 That's why we're here.

18 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Just taking the
19 terms "compact facility", "facility" and then "compact
20 waste disposal facility" on Pages 14 and 15, I can
21 certainly see there's a difference in that. The more
22 precise term seems to be that one on Page 15. It goes
23 from 6 to 8 on 15. Is that the one that you think we
24 should use?

25 MR. SHRUM: The longer definition?

1 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: No; actually I think
2 it's the shorter definition.

3 MR. SHRUM: The shorter definition.
4 Either one of them are fine. They are just different.
5 And whatever the committee thinks that you should be
6 using is fine. It's just that, you know, a good rule
7 nobody has to guess.

8 MR. CROWSON: I think one possible
9 distinction here should be mentioned, and No. (5) is a
10 clear reference to the one that's been licensed by TCEQ,
11 and the one in (3) on Page 14 at Line 21 is a generic
12 definition. That's not impossible, I suppose, that
13 another facility could be constructed, and this would be
14 a reference to that. That's one possible reason for
15 there to be two.

16 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Yeah, I mean,
17 there's definitely a difference between the two. You're
18 right, we probably ought to choose one or the other.

19 MR. SHRUM: That's all our suggestion is
20 is pick one, and that way we'll know -- I think we know
21 what everybody means. We mean the facility operated by
22 WCS, that's the compact facility, the actual place for
23 disposal. The other one makes it sound like other
24 things are associated with the compact disposal
25 facility --

1 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Right.

2 MR. SHRUM: -- the buildings. And if
3 that's your intent -- I don't know that the Commission
4 typically oversees what goes on at the other facility --
5 the other buildings and things like that, it's just
6 control of what goes into the compact disposal facility.

7 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: Well, it's in the --
8 under the (3) on Page 14, it looks as if it could be any
9 facility in the state of Texas that disposes of
10 low-level radioactive waste for which Texas and Vermont
11 are responsible. It's not specific at all as to where
12 or who or -- I mean, I think we've always -- at least
13 I've always looked at the compact facility as a
14 facility -- a particular hole in the ground within the
15 perimeter of that WCS land, but it is not any other
16 facility within that area, nor I think is it -- excuse
17 me -- nor is it some other place outside that area. I
18 don't know.

19 MR. SHRUM: Well, so somebody that would
20 like to challenge No. (3), mean any site, location,
21 structure or property located in and provided by the
22 host state for the purpose of disposal of a low-level
23 radioactive waste where the party states are
24 responsible -- party states are responsible --

25 COMMISSIONER SAUDEK: That's pretty broad.

1 MR. SHRUM: Well, it would include the
2 except cells. It would also include the DOE facilities.
3 So I'm -- that's why if -- a more concise definition
4 would probably be in order.

5 MR. CROWSON: And there also I think needs
6 to be thrown into the mix here with whatever
7 clarification there may be of that, the fact that the
8 Commission's authority for low-level radioactive waste
9 being imported into the state is broader than just the
10 waste that goes to the facility. And I think that may
11 be one of the distinctions that's being drawn here,
12 maybe not as exactly as it could be.

13 MR. SHRUM: I got here a little bit late.
14 I thought there would be plenty of taxies, but they're
15 all floating down Lavaca Street, but --

16 (Laughter)

17 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Yes; floating, yes.

18 MR. SHRUM: I apologize if I misunderstood
19 or I didn't realize you wanted -- and hopefully your
20 questions back you understand exactly what we're
21 referring to now.

22 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Well, thank you for
23 bringing this to our attention.

24 MR. SHRUM: Sure. Thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: It's something we'll

1 definitely look into. Thank you.

2 COMMENTS BY ROBERT KNAPP

3 MR. KNAPP: My name is Robert Knapp. I'm
4 with Luminant Generation, the Comanche Peak nuclear
5 power plant. I appreciate you guys allowing us to make
6 comments, working with us here on this.

7 I'm going to start off on Page 20,
8 Line 14, 675.21(b). You define "petitioner" in that
9 section. You guys also need to then move that
10 definition to the new Part 20 section. It's just a
11 comment.

12 Okay. The next one --

13 MR. CROWSON: Where were you, again? I'm
14 sorry.

15 MR. KNAPP: Oh, sorry. Line 14 on
16 Page 20.

17 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Okay. And,
18 Mr. Knapp, will you be sending these in in writing also?
19 Okay.

20 MR. KNAPP: We will also be sending you
21 written comments.

22 Okay. The next one, 675.21, which is on
23 Line 20, Page 23. Okay. And this is more -- the form
24 on your website, I don't know if you guys have updated
25 that since you did the rule. But one specific thing I

1 asked for specific radionuclides listed, which is not in
2 the rule, but it's still on the form. So I don't -- I
3 don't if you guys have gone a thorough scrubbing of the
4 forms since you've done the rule.

5 MR. CROWSON: Thank you.

6 MR. KNAPP: Okay. The next one, I'm going
7 to be jumping to two different sections here because
8 there's a difference. In 675.21, Line 24, Page 20 --

9 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: Give me -- give that
10 again.

11 MR. KNAPP: Sure, it is Line 24, Page 20.

12 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: Okay.

13 MR. KNAPP: Okay. It talks about sending
14 the information. In this section, you say it needs to
15 be electronic mail and UPS or Federal Express. Okay?
16 And in 675.22, which is Line 12, Page 29, you have the
17 word "or." I don't know if you guys just want an email
18 or you want both the email and the hard copy. So
19 there's just some inconsistency there.

20 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: We'll clarify that.

21 MR. KNAPP: Okay. The next one,
22 675.21(j)(4), which is Line 11, Page 27; that's Line 11,
23 Page 27. This one, it's not clear to us what you want
24 us to give you for -- at the time frame because a lot of
25 this stuff -- again, since we've sent it to a processor,

1 we're not going to know when they ship it and how much
2 activity that they will have shipped. Or they'll give
3 us on an annual basis up to that point, I could get a
4 report from them on that, but that may not be all the
5 waste that had been shipped during that time frame. So
6 if I send them something -- something in July, they may
7 not process it by the August 31st date.

8 So -- so that's why I can give you
9 whatever they give me for a report at the end of that
10 time frame. I just need to know if that is -- is good
11 enough. So the language -- we'll try to send you some
12 language to maybe help with that.

13 MR. CROWSON: Thank you.

14 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Okay. Good.

15 MR. KNAPP: Okay. The last one I have is
16 675.22(b), which is Page 29, Line 19. It talks about --
17 this is -- this is for reporting again. Section (1), it
18 talks about the volume of waste proposed for
19 exportation. Well, if we're sending you a report, it's
20 not proposed anymore. So we think you probably ought to
21 just say "the volume of waste exported," and again, also
22 the chemical form of the waste exported.

23 Those are all pretty minor comments.

24 MR. CROWSON: Helpful.

25 MR. KNAPP: Do you have any -- anything

1 else, clarification you need from me?

2 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: You've been very
3 helpful. Thank you.

4 MR. KNAPP: Okay. Thank you.

5 MR. CROWSON: Leigh, you're going to have
6 to fill in the cites.

7 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Commissioners, are
8 there any comments or -- that you'd like to make?

9 (No response)

10 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Or Eddie -- okay.
11 Eddie is not --

12 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, Commissioner, I
13 can't hear you.

14 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Okay.

15 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: No comments at this
16 point.

17 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Okay. Do -- does
18 anyone in the audience need more time to -- okay.
19 Mr. Selig?

20 MR. SELIG: Thank you. I just wanted to
21 reiterate our appreciation for the work that you've done
22 and that we will be following up our verbal comments
23 today with some written comments before the June 22nd
24 deadline. Thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Okay. Thank you.

1 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: Linda?

2 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Uh-huh.

3 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: I'm going to ask one.

4 So far we haven't had any comments, at least not today
5 and I'm not sure if we've had any written comments on
6 the subject of "small quantity generator," and that was
7 sort of a term of art that we developed when these rules
8 were first amended. I think it was around two years ago
9 or three years ago. I forget now.

10 Anybody have any comment about that?

11 There seems to work -- have worked pretty well, but now
12 is the time if we need to make any sort of adjustment or
13 change or anything like that. I would appreciate input.

14 COMMENTS BY DAVID MARTIN

15 MR. MARTIN: Good morning. Thank you for
16 all your hard work. I'm David Martin. I support the
17 Department of Energy National Nuclear Security
18 Administration Global Materials Security Program. And
19 again, thanks for all the support that you-all have
20 provided in terms of the small quantity generator sole
21 source disposal from a national security, public health
22 and safety perspective. We certainly consider it of
23 huge importance, and the Texas facility opening and its
24 continued operation is enormous from that standpoint.

25 In terms of the small quantity generator,

1 I was looking at the language actually just now, and it
2 looks as though some of the language has been struck.
3 I'll look a little more closely, and we will submit
4 comments as appropriate.

5 Certainly sealed source generators that --
6 with which we're concerned tend to be small quantity
7 generators. The larger generators we're less concerned
8 about. They tend to have the financial resources and
9 ability to secure and ship their sources. It's the
10 disuse sources at the smaller sites which tend to be a
11 greater concern from a national security, public health
12 and safety perspective.

13 Defining the "small quantity generator"
14 by -- in terms of the cubic feet, I think it has been a
15 hundred cubic feet per year, I recall has been tricky in
16 a couple of circumstances where a large generator
17 somehow qualified under that definition. There has been
18 not an issue as far as I'm aware of getting sealed
19 sources or plenty of waste -- a small quantity generator
20 waste into the site, so it hasn't been an issue of huge
21 concern. But I appreciate the question, Commissioner
22 Salsman, and would love to take a look at the language
23 and submit comments if helpful.

24 VICE-CHAIR SALSMAN: Thank you.

25 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Thank you.

1 Okay. Does anyone else in the audience
2 have any comments they'd like to make?

3 (No response)

4 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: And last chance for
5 our Commissioners and our counsel, any other comments?

6 (No response)

7 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: Wow, okay. We had
8 planned to stay here until noon, but --

9 (Laughter)

10 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: -- I guess we won't.

11 MR. CROWSON: What's going to happen to
12 our lunch?

13 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: That's right. Yeah,
14 there's free lunch for everybody. No, we're just
15 kidding. There's not.

16 (Laughter)

17 COMMISSIONER MORRIS: But anyway -- okay.
18 Well, thank you for coming today. If you -- I think all
19 of us are very accessible. If you have anything you'd
20 like to share with us, you know how to reach us. And so
21 we'll look forward to moving on with these rules and
22 getting these, you know, effective.

23 So -- all right. Thank you for something
24 this morning.

25 (Proceedings concluded at 10:06 a.m.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF TEXAS)

COUNTY OF TRAVIS)

I, Kim Pence, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above-mentioned matter occurred as hereinbefore set out.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT the proceedings of such were reported by me or under my supervision, later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision and control and that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and correct transcription of the original notes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 3rd day of June 2015.



KIM PENCE, CSR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
CSR No. 4595 - Expires 12/31/15

Firm Registration No. 276
Kennedy Reporting Service, Inc.
7800 North Mopac, Suite 120
Austin, Texas 78759
512.474.2233